Case 4:11-cv-00078-RAJ -FBS Document 25-30 Filed 03/28/12 Page 1 of 7 PageID# 509

Exhibit 30

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS JONES, BLECHMAN, WOLTZ & KELLY, Plaintiff, AT LAW NO. CL0801064V-04 v. NIKOLAI I. SINKINE, Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Newport News, Virginia September 29, 2009

Before: THE HONORABLE H. VINCENT CONWAY, JR., JUDGE

Appearances:

QUADROS & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: PAUL ROBERT QUADROS, ESQUIRE

Counsel for the Plaintiff

Also Present: Tatyana A. Babakaeva



recognize the lien, but that doesn't tell us anything

25

.19

until we determine the property interests." And at
that time the Court suggested a number of things; a
settlement conference, on and on, and finally asked
Mr. Bacon if he could approach the Hampton Circuit
Court and request that they, who had not determined the
property interests but had entered a divorce if they
would transfer the matter to this court and the Court
would then determine the property interests and
determine if the lien made any sense. The Court also
allowed Mrs. Bevelakwa
MS. BABAKAEVA: Babakaeva.
THE COURT: Let me see, make sure I've got
that right here.
MS. BABAKAEVA: Okay.
THE COURT: Babakaeva?
MS. BABAKAEVA: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Thank you.
to become a party in the case because
the Court recognized that her position was, and it may
be supported by the evidence, that she had the interest
in the funds, that her husband had none. And so we
went back and forth. And then Mr. Bacon advised me
that the matter had been removed to the federal
district court.

I believe now I have a note it's gone to

representing an opposing party would disqualify you

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from having any interest anymore than he has an interest in any dispute that you would have with a former attorney. My question is -- and sometimes you can't help being part of the problem, I guess -- when an amount is due allegedly to Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly against a pro se defendant who on writing has demanded a jury trial but is not in court, does it look improper for this Court to hear that issue sitting without a jury or even a jury trial to make rulings on any possible expert evidence that may be offered?

Normally, this Court, the Circuit Court, declines to hear matters involving direct attorney's fees' claims by attorneys who appear in court. Some judges in the Circuit Court are adamant about that. I'm not until I talk to the parties because nine times out of ten they're resolved before we get to the hearing stage and to get another judge involved just extends the whole process.

My concern, Mr. Ouadros, in this case is attorney's fees are being requested by a firm that I was a member of from '95 to '99 in a situation in which apparently everything becomes a conflict. I do not have Mr. Sinkine here to even talk to to waive that as his former wife did on the property issue.

I am of the opinion the Court should